

**Council of Sections Research Fund Selection Rubric**

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Principal Investigator/Submitter: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Sections, SIGs involved: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name(s) of Co-Investigator(s): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Excellent**  **4** | | **Good**  **3** | | **Fair**  **2** | | **Unacceptable**  **1** |
| **Proposal Content** | | The proposal presents issues of immediate relevance to and importance for dental education, with evidence based on current best practices or emerging pedagogy. | | The proposal focuses on issues and evidence that are based on current best practices in dental education. | | The proposal is related to dental education but does not contain information that is relevant to best practices in dental education. | The proposal does not clearly focus on issues of importance and relevance to best practices in dental education. |
| **Clarity & Appropriateness of Research Design & Statistical Methods** | | The title, research design, and statistical method are clearly described in the proposal. | | The title, research design, and statistical method are generally well-described. | | The title, research design, and /or statistical method are unclear. | The title, research design, and/or statistical methods are incomplete and unclear. |
| **Alignment with the** [**ADEA Strategic Directions**](https://www.adea.org/home/about/about-adea/strategic-directions) | | The project's purpose and expected outcomes are directly aligned with ADEA’s mission, core values, and several Strategic Directions. | | The project's purpose and expected outcomes are directly aligned with ADEA’s mission, core values, and one or two Strategic Directions. | | The project's purpose and outcomes indirectly align with ADEA’s mission, values, and/or Strategic Directions. | The project's purpose and expected outcomes are not in alignment with ADEA’s mission, core values or Strategic Directions. |
| **Funds Request and Appropriateness of Budget and Timeline** | | The proposal is well-written with an appropriate budget and timeline. | | The proposal budget or timeline is appropriate with minor changes. | | The proposal budget or timeline is not appropriate and would require significant revision. | The proposal budget and timeline are not appropriate or feasible. |
| **Contribution & Dissemination of Results** | | The proposal will make a significant contribution to dental education with potential for wide dissemination of results beyond ADEA Sections and /or SIGs | | The proposal will make a meaningful contribution to dental education with potential for broad dissemination of results & interest in many ADEA Sections and/or SIGs. | | The proposal will make a limited contribution to dental education and /or have limited potential for dissemination and interest beyond one ADEA Section and/or SIG. | The proposal will not contribute to dental education and /or have limited potential for dissemination of results |
| **SUB TOTALS** |  | |  | |  | |  |
| **Total Score** |  | | | | | | |
| **Currently Active Research Fund Grant** | Yes No | | | | | | |
| **Endorsement By ADEA Section/SIG** | Yes No | | | | | | |

**Scoring**:

All proposals receiving the minimum weighted average (MWA) of 15 will be reviewed for funding.

The total individual proposal scores divided by the number of reviewers will equal the MWA. For example, reviewer 1 scores 20, reviewer 2 scores 16, reviewer 3 scores 18. The MWA will equal 18, and the application would qualify for funding.

Preference will be given to applicants who do not currently have an active grant and whose proposal includes documentation of endorsement by one or more ADEA Section/SIGs.

**Reviewer Comments**:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
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\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_